

## Operating Systems and Networks

### Network Lecture 12: Application Layer

Adrian Perrig  
Network Security Group  
ETH Zürich

## Where we are in the Course

- Starting the Application Layer!
  - Builds distributed “network services” (DNS, Web) on Transport services

2

## Recall

- Application layer protocols are often part of an “app”
  - But don’t need a GUI, e.g., DNS

3

## Recall (2)

- Application layer messages are often split over multiple packets
  - Or may be aggregated in a packet ...

4

## Application Communication Needs

- Vary widely with app; must build on Transport services

5

## OSI Session/Presentation Layers

- Remember this? Two relevant concepts ...

But consider part of the application, not strictly layered!

7	Application	Provides functions needed by users
6	Presentation	Converts different data representations
5	Session	Multiple sessions between same src-dst
4	Transport	Provides end-to-end delivery
3	Network	Sends packets over multiple links
2	Data link	Sends frames of information
1	Physical	Sends bits as signals

6

### Session Concept

- A session is a series of related network interactions in support of an application task
  - Often informal, not explicit
- Examples:
  - Web page fetches multiple images
  - Skype call involves audio, video, chat

7

### Presentation Concept


- Apps need to identify the type of content, and encode it for transfer
  - These are Presentation functions
- Examples:
  - Media (MIME) types, e.g., image/jpeg, identify the type of content
  - Transfer encodings, e.g., gzip, identify content encoding
  - Application headers are often simple and readable versus packed for efficiency

8

### Topics

- Evolving Internet applications
- DNS (Domain Name System)
- HTTP (HyperText Transfer Protocol)
- Web proxies and caching
- Content Distribution Networks
- Peer-to-peer (BitTorrent)
- Real-time applications (VoIP)


9




### Evolution of Internet Applications (2)

- For a peek at the state of the Internet:
  - Akamai’s State of the Internet Report (quarterly)
  - Cisco’s Visual Networking Index
  - Mary Meeker’s Internet Report
- Robust Internet growth, esp. video, wireless and mobile
  - Most traffic is video, will be 90% of Internet in a few years
  - Wireless traffic will soon overtake wired traffic
  - Mobile traffic is still a small portion (15%) of overall
  - Growing attack traffic from China, also U.S. and Russia

11





### Domain Name System (DNS) (§7.1.1-7.1.3)

- The DNS (Domain Name System)
  - Human-readable host names, and more
  - Part 1: the distributed namespace

### Names and Addresses

- Names:** higher-level (user-understandable) resource identifiers
- Addresses:** lower-level resource locators
  - Multiple levels, e.g., full name → email → IP address → Ethernet address
- Resolution** (or lookup): mapping a name to an address

Name, e.g.  
"Andy Tanenbaum,"  
or "flits.cs.vu.nl"

Address, e.g.  
"Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam"  
or IPv4 "130.30.27.38"

### Before the DNS – HOSTS.TXT

- Directory was a file HOSTS.TXT regularly retrieved for all hosts from a central machine at the NIC (Network Information Center)
- Names were initially flat, became hierarchical (e.g., lcs.mit.edu) ~1985
- Neither manageable nor efficient as the ARPANET grew ...

### DNS

- A naming service to map between host names and their IP addresses (and more)
  - www.uwa.edu.au → 130.95.128.140
- Goals**
  - Easy to manage (especially with multiple parties)
  - Efficient (good performance, few resources)
- Approach**
  - Distributed directory based on a hierarchical namespace
  - Automated protocol to tie pieces together

### DNS Namespace

- Hierarchical, starting from "." (dot, typically omitted)


## TLDs (Top-Level Domains)

- Run by ICANN (Internet Corp. for Assigned Names and Numbers)
  - Starting in '98; naming is financial, political, and international
- 22+ generic TLDs
  - Initially .com, .edu, .gov, .mil, .org, .net
  - Added .aero, .info, .museum, etc. from '01 through .xxx in '11
  - Different TLDs have different usage policies
- ~250 country code TLDs
  - Two letters, e.g., ".au", plus international characters since 2010
  - Widely commercialized, e.g., .tv (Tuvalu)
  - Many domain hacks, e.g., instagr.am (Armenia), goo.gl (Greenland)

19

## DNS Zones

- A zone is a contiguous portion of the namespace



20

## DNS Zones (2)

- Zones are the basis for distribution
  - EDU Registrar administers .edu
  - UW administers washington.edu
  - CS&E administers cs.washington.edu
- Each zone has a nameserver to contact for information about it
  - Zone must include contacts for delegations, e.g., .edu knows nameserver for washington.edu

21

## DNS Resource Records

- A zone is comprised of DNS resource records that provide information about its domain names

Type	Meaning
SOA	Start of authority, has main zone parameters
A	IPv4 address of a host
AAAA ("quad A")	IPv6 address of a host
CNAME	Canonical name for an alias
MX	Mail exchanger for the domain
NS	Nameserver of domain or delegated subdomain

22

## DNS Resource Records (2)

```

: Authoritative data for cs.vu.nl
cs.vu.nl. 86400 IN SOA star boss (9527,7200,7200,241920,86400)
cs.vu.nl. 86400 IN MX 1 zephyr
cs.vu.nl. 86400 IN MX 2 top
cs.vu.nl. 86400 IN NS: star
star 86400 IN A 130.37.56.205
zephyr 86400 IN A 130.37.20.10
top 86400 IN A 130.37.20.11
www 86400 IN CNAME star.cs.vu.nl
ftp 86400 IN CNAME zephyr.cs.vu.nl
fits 86400 IN A 130.37.16.112
fits 86400 IN A 192.31.231.165
fits 86400 IN MX 1 fits
fits 86400 IN MX 2 zephyr
fits 86400 IN MX 3 top
rowboat IN A 130.37.56.201
rowboat IN MX 1 rowboat
rowboat IN MX 2 zephyr
little-sister IN A 130.37.62.23
laserjet IN A 192.31.231.216
    
```

Annotations in the original image:

- Arrow pointing to 'star' in the NS list: Name server
- Arrow pointing to 'zephyr' in the A list: IP addresses of computers
- Arrow pointing to 'rowboat' in the MX list: Mail gateways

23


## DNS Resolution

- DNS protocol lets a host resolve any host name (domain) to IP address
- If unknown, can start with the root nameserver and work down zones
- Let's see an example first ...

24

## DNS Resolution (2)

- flits.cs.vu.nl resolves robot.cs.washington.edu



25

## Iterative vs. Recursive Queries

- Recursive query
  - Nameserver completes resolution and returns the final answer
  - E.g., flits → local nameserver
- Iterative query
  - Nameserver returns the answer or who to contact next for the answer
  - E.g., local nameserver → all others

26


## Iterative vs. Recursive Queries (2)

- Recursive query
  - Lets server offload client burden (simple resolver) for manageability
  - Lets server cache over a pool of clients for better performance
- Iterative query
  - Lets server “file and forget”
  - Easy to build high load servers

27

## Caching


- Resolution latency should be low
  - Adds delay to web browsing
- Cache query/responses to answer future queries immediately
  - Including partial (iterative) answers
  - Responses carry a TTL for caching



28

## Caching (2)

- flits.cs.vu.nl now resolves eng.washington.edu
  - And previous resolutions cut out most of the process



29

## Local Nameservers

- Local nameservers typically run by IT (enterprise, ISP)
  - But may be your host or AP
  - Or alternatives e.g., Google public DNS
- Clients need to be able to contact their local nameservers
  - Typically configured via DHCP


30

## Root Nameservers

- Root (.dot) is served by 13 server names
  - a.root-servers.net to m.root-servers.net
  - All nameservers need root IP addresses
  - Handled via configuration file (named.ca)
- There are >250 distributed server instances
  - Highly reachable, reliable service
  - Most servers are reached by IP anycast (Multiple locations advertise same IP! Routes take client to the closest one. See §5.2.9)
  - Servers are IPv4 and IPv6 reachable

31


## Root Server Deployment



32

## DNS Protocol


- Query and response messages
  - Built on UDP messages, port 53
  - ARQ for reliability; server is stateless!
  - Messages linked by a 16-bit ID field



33

## DNS Protocol (2)


- Service reliability via replicas
  - Run multiple nameservers for domain
  - Return the list; clients use one answer
  - Helps distribute load too



34

## DNS Protocol (3)

- Security is a major issue
  - Compromise redirects to wrong site!
  - Not part of initial protocols ..
- DNSSEC (DNS Security Extensions)
  - Long under development, now partially deployed



35

## HTTP, the HyperText Transfer Protocol (§7.3.1-7.3.4)

- HTTP, (HyperText Transfer Protocol)
  - Basis for fetching Web pages



36

## Sir Tim Berners-Lee (1955–)


- Inventor of the Web
  - Dominant Internet app since mid 90s
  - He now directs the W3C
- Developed Web at CERN in '89
  - Browser, server and first HTTP
  - Popularized via Mosaic ('93), Netscape
  - First WWW conference in '94 ...



Source: By Paul Clarke, CC-BY-2.0, via Wikimedia Commons

37


## Web Context



38

## Web Protocol Context

- HTTP is a request/response protocol for fetching Web resources
  - Runs on TCP, typically port 80
  - Part of browser/server app



39


## Fetching a Web page with HTTP

- Start with the page URL: `http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chuchichäschtl`
  - Protocol: http
  - Server: de.wikipedia.org
  - Page on server: /wiki/Chuchichäschtl
- Steps:
  - Resolve the server to IP address (DNS)
  - Set up TCP connection to the server
  - Send HTTP request for the page
  - (Await HTTP response for the page)
  - \* \* \* Execute / fetch embedded resources / render
  - Clean up any idle TCP connections

40

## Static vs Dynamic Web pages


- Static web page is content of a file, e.g., image
- Dynamic web page is the result of program execution
  - Javascript on client, PHP on server, or both



41

## Evolution of HTTP

- Consider security (SSL/TLS for HTTPS) later



42

## HTTP Protocol

- Originally a simple protocol, with many options added over time
  - Text-based commands, headers
- Try it yourself:
  - As a "browser" fetching a URL
  - Run "telnet www.scion-architecture.net 80"
  - Type "GET / HTTP/1.1" followed by "Host: www.scion-architecture.net" followed by a blank line
  - Server will return HTTP response with the page contents (or other info)

43

## HTTP Get Result

```

5 telnet www.scion-architecture.net 80
Trying 129.132.85.42...
Connected to scion-architecture.net.
Escape character is '^]'.
GET / HTTP/1.1
Host: www.scion-architecture.net

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Wed, 01 Jun 2016 21:04:24 GMT
Server: Apache/2.2.15 (Red Hat)
X-Powered-By: PHP/5.3.3
Transfer-Encoding: chunked
Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

3565

<!DOCTYPE HTML>
<html>
...
    
```

44

## HTTP Protocol (2)

- Commands used in the request

	Method	Description
Fetch page →	GET	Read a Web page
	HEAD	Read a Web page's header
Upload data →	POST	Append to a Web page
	PUT	Store a Web page
	DELETE	Remove the Web page
	TRACE	Echo the incoming request
	CONNECT	Connect through a proxy
	OPTIONS	Query options for a page

45

## HTTP Protocol (3)

- Codes returned with the response

	Code	Meaning	Examples
	1xx	Information	100 = server agrees to handle client's request
Yes! →	2xx	Success	200 = request succeeded; 204 = no content present
	3xx	Redirection	301 = page moved; 304 = cached page still valid
	4xx	Client error	403 = forbidden page; 404 = page not found
	5xx	Server error	500 = internal server error; 503 = try again later

46

## HTTP Protocol (4)

- Many header fields specify capabilities and content
  - E.g., Content-Type: text/html, Cookie: lect=12-1-http

Function	Example Headers
Browser capabilities (client → server)	User-Agent, Accept, Accept-Charset, Accept-Encoding, Accept-Language
Caching related (mixed directions)	If-Modified-Since, If-None-Match, Date, Last-Modified, Expires, Cache-Control, ETag
Browser context (client → server)	Cookie, Referer, Authorization, Host
Content delivery (server → client)	Content-Encoding, Content-Length, Content-Type, Content-Language, Content-Range, Set-Cookie

47

## HTTP Performance (§7.3.4)

- Performance of HTTP
  - Parallel and persistent connections



48




## PLT (Page Load Time)

- PLT is the key measure of web performance
  - From click until user sees page
  - Small increases in PLT decrease sales
- PLT depends on many factors
  - Structure of page/content
  - HTTP (and TCP!) protocol
  - Network RTT and bandwidth

49

## Early Performance (1)


- HTTP/1.0 uses one TCP connection to fetch each web resource
  - Made HTTP very easy to build
  - But gave fairly poor PLT...



50

## Early Performance (2)

- Many reasons why PLT is larger than necessary
  - Sequential request/responses, even when to different servers
  - Multiple TCP connection setups to the same server
  - Multiple TCP slow-start phases
- Network is not used effectively
  - Worse with many small resources / page



51

## Ways to Decrease PLT

1. Reduce content size for transfer
  - Smaller images, gzip
2. Change HTTP to make better use of available bandwidth (e.g., avoid TCP slow start)
3. Change HTTP to avoid repeated transfers of the same content
  - Caching, and proxies
4. Move content closer to client
  - CDNs [later]

52

## Parallel Connections

- One simple way to reduce PLT
  - Browser runs multiple (8, say) HTTP instances in parallel
  - Server is unchanged; already handles concurrent requests for many clients
- How does this help?
  - Single HTTP wasn't using network much ...
  - So parallel connections aren't slowed much
  - Pulls in completion time of last fetch


53

## Persistent Connections

- Parallel connections compete with each other for network resources
  - 1 parallel client  $\approx$  8 sequential clients?
  - Exacerbates network bursts, and loss
- Persistent connection alternative
  - Make 1 TCP connection to 1 server
  - Use it for multiple HTTP requests

54

### Persistent Connections (2)



55


### Persistent Connections (3)

- Widely used as part of HTTP/1.1
  - Supports optional pipelining
  - PLT benefits depending on page structure, but easy on network
- Issues with persistent connections
  - How long to keep TCP connection?
  - Can it be slower? (Yes. But why?)

56

### HTTP Caching and Proxies (§7.3.4, §7.5.2)


- HTTP caching and proxies
  - Enabling content reuse



57

### Web Caching

- Users often revisit web pages
  - Big win from reusing local copy!
  - This is caching



- Key question:
  - When is it OK to reuse local copy?

58

### Web Caching (2)


- Locally determine if copy is still valid
  - Based on expiry information such as “Expires” header from server
  - Or use a heuristic to guess (cacheable, freshly valid, not modified recently)
  - Content is then available right away



59

### Web Caching (3)


- Revalidate copy with remote server
  - Based on timestamp of copy such as “Last-Modified” header from server
  - Or based on content such as “ETag” header from server: Entity Tag, computed by server as a unique object identifier
  - Content is available after 1 RTT



60

### Web Caching (4)

- Putting the pieces together:



61


### Web Proxies

- Place intermediary between pool of clients and external web servers
- Proxy caching
  - Clients benefit from larger, shared cache (other clients may have already accessed content)
  - Benefits limited by secure / dynamic per-client content, as well as "long tail" data access pattern
  - Enables application of organizational access policies

62

### Web Proxies (2)


- Clients contact proxy; proxy contacts server



63

### CDNs (Content Delivery Networks) (§7.5.3)

- CDNs (Content Delivery Networks)
  - Efficient distribution of popular content; faster delivery for clients



64


### Context

- As the web took off in the 90s, traffic volumes grew and grew. This:
  - Concentrated load on popular servers
  - Led to congested networks and need to provision more bandwidth
  - Gave a poor user experience
- Idea:
  - Place popular content near clients
  - Helps with all three issues above

65

### Before CDNs


- Sending content from the source to 4 users takes  $4 \times 3 = 12$  "network hops" in the example



66

### After CDNs


- Sending content via replicas takes only  $4 + 2 = 6$  "network hops"



67

### After CDNs (2)

- Benefits assuming popular content:
  - Reduces server, network load
  - Improves user experience (PLT)



68


### Popularity of Content

- Zipf's Law: few popular items, many unpopular ones ("heavy tail" of probability distribution); both matter

George Zipf (1902-1950)



Source: Wikipedia




69

### How to place content near clients?

- Use browser and proxy caches
  - Helps, but limited to one client or clients in one organization
- Want to place replicas across the Internet for use by all nearby clients
  - Done by clever use of DNS

70


### Content Delivery Network



71

### Content Delivery Network (2)


- DNS resolution of site gives answer depending on client
  - Direct each client to the nearest replica (using IP geolocation)



72

## Business Model

- Clever model pioneered by Akamai
  - Placing site replica at an ISP is win-win
  - Improves site experience and reduces bandwidth usage of ISP



73

## The Future of HTTP


- The Future of HTTP
  - How will we make the web faster?
  - A brief look at some approaches



74

## Modern Web Pages


- Waterfall diagram shows progression of page load



75


## Modern Web Pages (2)

Yikes!  
-23 requests  
-1 Mb data  
-2.6 secs



76

## Modern Web Pages (3)



- Waterfall and PLT depends on many factors
  - Very different for different browsers
  - Very different for repeat page views
  - Depends on local computation as well as network

77

## Recent work to reduce PLT

Pages grow ever more complex!  
– Larger, more dynamic, and secure  
– How will we reduce PLT?

1. Better use of the network
  - HTTP/2 effort based on SPDY
2. Better content structures
  - mod\_pagespeed server extension

78

## SPDY (“speedy”)

- A set of HTTP improvements
  - Multiplexed (parallel) HTTP requests on one TCP connection
  - Client priorities for parallel requests
  - Compressed HTTP headers
  - Server push of resources
- Now being tested and improved
  - Default in Chrome, Firefox
  - Basis for HTTP/2

79

## mod\_pagespeed

- Observation:
  - The way pages are written affects how quickly they load
  - Many books on best practices for page authors and developers
- Key idea:
  - Have server re-write (compile) pages to help them load quickly!
  - Apache mod\_pagespeed is an example

80


## mod\_pagespeed (2)

- Apache server extension
  - Software installed with web server
  - Rewrites pages “on the fly” with rules based on best practices
- Example rewrite rules:
  - Minify Javascript
  - Flatten multi-level CSS files
  - Resize images for client
  - ... and much more (100s of specific rules)

81

## Peer-to-Peer Content Delivery (BitTorrent) (§7.5.4)

- Peer-to-peer content delivery
  - Runs without dedicated infrastructure
  - BitTorrent as an example



82

## Context

- Delivery with client/server CDNs:
  - Efficient, scales up for popular content
  - Reliable, managed for good service
- ... but some disadvantages too:
  - Need for dedicated infrastructure
  - Centralized control/oversight

83


## P2P (Peer-to-Peer)

- Goal is delivery *without* dedicated infrastructure or centralized control
  - Still efficient at scale, and reliable
- Key idea is to have participants (or peers) help each other
  - Initially Napster ‘99 for music (gone)
  - Now BitTorrent ‘01 onwards (popular!)

84

## P2P Challenges

- No servers on which to rely on
  - Communication must be peer-to-peer and self-organizing, not client-server
  - Leads to several issues at scale ...



85


## P2P Challenges (2)

1. Limited capabilities
  - How can one peer deliver content to all other peers?
2. Participation incentives
  - Why would peers help each other?
3. Decentralization
  - How will peers find content?

86

## Overcoming Limited Capabilities


- Peer can send content to all other peers using a distribution tree
  - Typically done with replicas over time
  - Self-scaling capacity (more nodes → more capacity)



87

## Providing Participation Incentives


- Peers play two roles:
  - Download (→) to help themselves, and upload (←) to help others



88

## Providing Participation Incentives (2)

- Couple the two roles:
  - I'll upload for you if you upload for me
  - Encourages cooperation



89

## Enabling Decentralization

- Peer must learn where to get content
  - Use DHTs (Distributed Hash Tables)
- DHTs are fully-decentralized, efficient algorithms for a distributed index
  - Index is spread across all peers
  - Index lists peers to contact for content
  - Any peer can lookup the index
  - Started as academic work in 2001

90

## BitTorrent

- Main P2P system in use today
  - Developed by Cohen in '01
  - Very rapid growth, large transfers
  - Big fraction of Internet traffic
  - Used for legal and copyrighted content
- Delivers data using “torrents”:
  - Transfers files in pieces for parallelism
  - Notable for treatment of incentives
  - Tracker or decentralized index (DHT)

Bram Cohen (1975—)



By Jacob Appelbaum, CC-BY-SA-2.0, from Wikimedia Commons

91


## BitTorrent Protocol

- Steps to download a torrent:
  1. Start with torrent description
  2. Contact tracker to join and get list of peers (with at least seed peer)
  2. Or, use DHT index for peers
  3. Trade pieces with different peers
  4. Favor peers that upload to you rapidly; “choke” peers that don’t by slowing your upload to them

92

## BitTorrent Protocol (2)


- All peers (except seed) retrieve torrent at the same time



93

## BitTorrent Protocol (3)


- Dividing file into pieces gives parallelism for speed



94

## BitTorrent Protocol (4)


- Choking unhelpful peers encourages participation



95

## BitTorrent Protocol (5)

- DHT index (spread over peers) is fully decentralized



96



## P2P Outlook

- Alternative to CDN-style client-server content distribution
  - With potential advantages
- P2P and DHT technologies finding more widespread use over time
  - E.g., part of skype, Amazon cloud computing
  - Expect hybrid systems in the future

97