#### **Operating Systems and Networks**

#### Network Lecture 12: Application Layer


Adrian Perrig Network Security Group ETH Zürich

## **Exercise Session Update**

- Raphael Reischuk is away today, David Barrera will hold his session
- Group 1 will be in ML F40 from 3pm to 4pm
- Group 2 will be in ML F40 from 4pm to 5pm

## Where we are in the Course


- Starting the Application Layer!
  - Builds distributed "network services" (DNS, Web) on Transport services



:


#### Recall

- Application layer protocols are often part of an "app"
  - But don't need a GUI, e.g., DNS



## Recall (2)


- Application layer messages are often split over multiple packets
  - Or may be aggregated in a packet ...





5

## **Application Communication Needs**

Vary widely with app; must build on Transport services








## OSI Session/Presentation Layers

Remember this? Two relevant concepts ...




-

# **Session Concept**

- A session is a series of related network interactions in support of an application task
  - Often informal, not explicit
- Examples:
  - Web page fetches multiple images
  - Skype call involves audio, video, chat


## **Presentation Concept**


- Apps need to identify the type of content, and encode it for transfer
  - These are Presentation functions
- Examples:
  - Media (MIME) types, e.g., image/jpeg, identify the type of content
  - Transfer encodings, e.g., gzip, identify content encoding
  - Application headers are often simple and readable versus packed for efficiency



# **Evolution of Internet Applications (2)**

- For a peek at the state of the Internet:
  - Akamai's State of the Internet Report (quarterly)
  - Cisco's Visual Networking Index
  - Mary Meeker's Internet Report
- Robust Internet growth, esp. video, wireless and mobile
  - Most traffic is video, will be 90% of Internet in a few years
  - Wireless traffic will soon overtake wired traffic
  - Mobile traffic is still a small portion (15%) of overall
  - Growing attack traffic from China, also U.S. and Russia







- Evolving Internet applications
- DNS (Domain Name System)
- HTTP (HyperText Transfer Protocol)
- · Web proxies and caching

Content Distribution Networks

Peer-to-peer (BitTorrent)


Real-time applications (VoIP)

This lecture

See Book

## Domain Name System (DNS) (§7.1.1-7.1.3)


- The DNS (Domain Name System)
  - Human-readable host names, and more
  - Part 1: the distributed namespace



15

#### Names and Addresses

- Names: higher-level (user-understandable) resource identifiers
- Addresses: lower-level resource locators
  - Multiple levels, e.g., full name → email → IP address → Ethernet address
- Resolution (or lookup): mapping a name to an address



#### Before the DNS – HOSTS.TXT

- Directory was a file HOSTS.TXT regularly retrieved for all hosts from a central machine at the NIC (Network Information Center)
- Names were initially flat, became hierarchical (e.g., lcs.mit.edu) ~1985
- Neither manageable nor efficient as the ARPANET grew ...


17

#### **DNS**

- A naming service to map between host names and their IP addresses (and more)
  - www.uwa.edu.au → 130.95.128.140
- Goals
  - Easy to manage (especially with multiple parties)
  - Efficient (good performance, few resources)
- Approach
  - Distributed directory based on a hierarchical namespace
  - Automated protocol to tie pieces together

## **DNS Namespace**

Hierarchical, starting from "." (dot, typically omitted)




## TLDs (Top-Level Domains)

- Run by ICANN (Internet Corp. for Assigned Names and Numbers)
  - Starting in '98; naming is financial, political, and international ©
- 22+ generic TLDs
  - Initially .com, .edu , .gov., .mil, .org, .net
  - Added .aero, .info, .museum, etc. from '01 through .xxx in '11
  - Different TLDs have different usage policies
- ~250 country code TLDs
  - Two letters, e.g., ".au", plus international characters since 2010
  - Widely commercialized, e.g., .tv (Tuvalu)
  - Many domain hacks, e.g., instagr.am (Armenia), goo.gl (Greenland)

#### **DNS Zones**

A zone is a contiguous portion of the namespace



## DNS Zones (2)

- Zones are the basis for distribution
  - EDU Registrar administers .edu
  - UW administers washington.edu
  - CS&E administers cs.washington.edu
- Each zone has a <u>nameserver</u> to contact for information about it
  - Zone must include contacts for delegations, e.g., .edu knows nameserver for washington.edu

## **DNS Resource Records**

 A zone is comprised of DNS resource records that provide information about its domain names

Туре	Meaning
SOA	Start of authority, has key zone parameters
Α	IPv4 address of a host
AAAA ("quad A")	IPv6 address of a host
CNAME	Canonical name for an alias
MX	Mail exchanger for the domain
NS	Nameserver of domain or delegated subdomain

23

# **DNS** Resource Records (2)

; Authoritative data	a for cs.v	u.nl			
cs.vu.nl.	86400	IN	SOA	star boss (9527,7200,	7200,241920,86400)
cs.vu.nl.	86400	IN	MX	1 zephyr	
cs.vu.nl.	86400	IN	MX	2 top	
cs.vu.nl.	86400	IN	NS	star -	Name server
				400.07.50.005	
star	86400	IN	A	130.37.56.205	
zephyr	86400	IN	Α	130.37.20.10	- IP addresses
top	86400	IN	A	130.37.20.11	ir addiesses
WWW	86400	IN	CNAME	star.cs.vu.nl	of computers
ftp	86400	IN	CNAME	zephyr.cs.vu.nl	or computers
flits	86400	IN	Α	130.37.16.112	
flits	86400	IN	A	192.31.231.165	
flits	86400	IN	MX	1 flits	
flits	86400	IN	MX	2 zephyr	
flits	86400	IN	MX		
IIIIS	86400	IIN	IVIX	3 top	
rowboat		IN	Α	130.37.56.201	
		IN	MX	1 rowboat	
		IN	MX	2 zephyr	Mail gateways
little-sister		IN	Α	130.37.62.23	
laserjet		IN	Α	192.31.231.216	


#### **DNS** Resolution

- DNS protocol lets a host resolve any host name (domain) to IP address
- If unknown, can start with the root nameserver and work down zones
- Let's see an example first ...

25

# DNS Resolution (2)

flits.cs.vu.nl resolves robot.cs.washington.edu



#### Iterative vs. Recursive Queries

- Recursive query
  - Nameserver completes resolution and returns the final answer
  - E.g., flits → local nameserver
- Iterative query
  - Nameserver returns the answer or who to contact next for the answer
  - E.g., local nameserver → all others


27

## Iterative vs. Recursive Queries (2)

- Recursive query
  - Lets server offload client burden (simple resolver) for manageability
  - Lets server cache over a pool of clients for better performance
- Iterative query
  - Lets server "file and forget"
  - Easy to build high load servers

## Caching


- Resolution latency should be low
  - Adds delay to web browsing
- Cache query/responses to answer future queries immediately
  - Including partial (iterative) answers
  - Responses carry a TTL for caching



29

# Caching (2)

- flits.cs.vu.nl now resolves eng.washington.edu
  - And previous resolutions cut out most of the process



#### **Local Nameservers**


- Local nameservers typically run by IT (enterprise, ISP)
  - But may be your host or AP
  - Or alternatives e.g., Google public DNS
- Clients need to be able to contact their local nameservers
  - Typically configured via DHCP

31

## **Root Nameservers**

- Root (dot) is served by 13 server names
  - a.root-servers.net to m.root-servers.net
  - All nameservers need root IP addresses
  - Handled via configuration file (named.ca)
- There are >250 distributed server instances
  - Highly reachable, reliable service
  - Most servers are reached by <u>IP anycast</u> (Multiple locations advertise same IP! Routes take client to the closest one. See §5.2.9)
  - Servers are IPv4 and IPv6 reachable


# **Root Server Deployment**



33


## **DNS Protocol**

- Query and response messages
  - Built on UDP messages, port 53
  - ARQ for reliability; server is stateless!
  - Messages linked by a 16-bit ID field



## DNS Protocol (2)


- Service reliability via replicas
  - Run multiple nameservers for domain
  - Return the list; clients use one answer
  - Helps distribute load too



35

# **DNS Protocol (3)**

- Security is a major issue
  - Compromise redirects to wrong site!
  - Not part of initial protocols ..
- DNSSEC (DNS Security Extensions)
  - Long under development, now partially deployed



# HTTP, the HyperText Transfer Protocol (§7.3.1-7.3.4)

- HTTP, (HyperText Transfer Protocol)
  - Basis for fetching Web pages




37

# Sir Tim Berners-Lee (1955-)

- Inventor of the Web
  - Dominant Internet app since mid 90s
  - He now directs the W3C
- Developed Web at CERN in '89
  - Browser, server and first HTTP
  - Popularized via Mosaic ('93), Netscape
  - First WWW conference in '94 ...




Source: By Paul Clarke, CC-BY-2.0, via Wikimedia Commons



## **Web Protocol Context**

- HTTP is a request/response protocol for fetching Web resources
  - Runs on TCP, typically port 80
  - Part of browser/server app



## Fetching a Web page with HTTP

Start with the page URL:


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vegemite
Protocol Server Page on server

- Steps:
  - Resolve the server to IP address (DNS)
  - Set up TCP connection to the server
  - Send HTTP request for the page
  - (Await HTTP response for the page)
  - \*\* Execute / fetch embedded resources / render
  - Clean up any idle TCP connections

41


## Static vs Dynamic Web pages

- Static web page is a file contents, e.g., image
- Dynamic web page is the result of program execution
  - Javascript on client, PHP on server, or both



#### **Evolution of HTTP**

Consider security (SSL/TLS for HTTPS) later



#### **HTTP Protocol**

- Originally a simple protocol, with many options added over time
  - Text-based commands, headers
- Try it yourself:
  - As a "browser" fetching a URL
  - Run "telnet www.scion-architecture.net 80"
  - Type "GET / HTTP/1.1" followed by "Host: www.scionarchitecture.net" followed by a blank line
  - Server will return HTTP response with the page contents (or other info)

# HTTP Protocol (2)

Commands used in the request

E. L. I.	Method	Description
Fetch page →	GET	Read a Web page
	HEAD	Read a Web page's header
Upload	POST	Append to a Web page
	PUT	Store a Web page
	DELETE	Remove the Web page
	TRACE	Echo the incoming request
	CONNECT	Connect through a proxy
	OPTIONS	Query options for a page

45

# HTTP Protocol (3)

Codes returned with the response

	Code	Meaning	Examples
	1xx	Information	100 = server agrees to handle client's request
Yes! →	2xx	Success	200 = request succeeded; 204 = no content present
	3xx	Redirection	301 = page moved; 304 = cached page still valid
	4xx	Client error	403 = forbidden page; 404 = page not found
	5xx	Server error	500 = internal server error; 503 = try again later

# HTTP Protocol (4)

- Many header fields specify capabilities and content
  - E.g., Content-Type: text/html, Cookie: lect=12-1-http

Function	Example Headers
Browser capabilities (client → server)	User-Agent, Accept, Accept-Charset, Accept-Encoding, Accept-Language
Caching related (mixed directions)	If-Modified-Since, If-None-Match, Date, Last-Modified, Expires, Cache-Control, ETag
Browser context (client → server)	Cookie, Referer, Authorization, Host
Content delivery (server → client)	Content-Encoding, Content-Length, Content-Type, Content-Language, Content-Range, Set-Cookie

47

# HTTP Performance (§7.3.4)

- Performance of HTTP
  - Parallel and persistent connections




## PLT (Page Load Time)

- PLT is the key measure of web performance
  - From click until user sees page
  - Small increases in PLT decrease sales
- PLT depends on many factors
  - Structure of page/content
  - HTTP (and TCP!) protocol
  - Network RTT and bandwidth

49


# Early Performance (1)

- HTTP/1.0 uses one TCP connection to fetch one web resource
  - Made HTTP very easy to build
  - But gave fairly poor PLT...



# Early Performance (2)

- Many reasons why PLT is larger than necessary
  - Sequential request/responses, even when to different servers
  - Multiple TCP connection setups to the same server
  - Multiple TCP slow-start phases
- Network is not used effectively
  - Worse with many small resources / page



5

# Ways to Decrease PLT

- 1. Reduce content size for transfer
  - Smaller images, gzip
- Change HTTP to make better use of available bandwidth
- Change HTTP to avoid repeated transfers of the same content
  - Caching, and proxies
- 4. Move content closer to client
  - CDNs [later]


## **Parallel Connections**

- One simple way to reduce PLT
  - Browser runs multiple (8, say) HTTP instances in parallel
  - Server is unchanged; already handles concurrent requests for many clients
- How does this help?
  - Single HTTP wasn't using network much ...
  - So parallel connections aren't slowed much
  - Pulls in completion time of last fetch

53

#### **Persistent Connections**

- Parallel connections compete with each other for network resources
  - 1 parallel client ≈ 8 sequential clients?
  - Exacerbates network bursts, and loss
- Persistent connection alternative
  - Make 1 TCP connection to 1 server
  - Use it for multiple HTTP requests




# Persistent Connections (3)

- Widely used as part of HTTP/1.1
  - Supports optional pipelining
  - PLT benefits depending on page structure, but easy on network
- Issues with persistent connections
  - How long to keep TCP connection?
  - Can it be slower? (Yes. But why?)

## HTTP Caching and Proxies (§7.3.4, §7.5.2)


- HTTP caching and proxies
  - Enabling content reuse



57

## Web Caching

- Users often revisit web pages
  - Big win from reusing local copy!
  - This is caching



- Key question:
  - When is it OK to reuse local copy?

## Web Caching (2)

- Locally determine if copy is still valid
  - Based on expiry information such as "Expires" header from server
  - Or use a heuristic to guess (cacheable, freshly valid, not modified recently)
  - Content is then available right away



59


## Web Caching (3)

- Revalidate copy with remote server
  - Based on timestamp of copy such as "Last-Modified" header from server
  - Or based on content of copy such as "ETag" header from server: Entity Tag, computed by server as a unique identifier
  - Content is available after 1 RTT



# Web Caching (4)

Putting the pieces together:




#### Web Proxies

- Place intermediary between pool of clients and external web servers
  - Benefits for clients include greater caching and security checking
  - Enables application of organizational access policies
- Proxy caching
  - Clients benefit from larger, shared cache
  - Benefits limited by secure / dynamic content, as well as "long tail"

# Web Proxies (2)


Clients contact proxy; proxy contacts server



63

## CDNs (Content Delivery Networks) (§7.5.3)

- CDNs (Content Delivery Networks)
  - Efficient distribution of popular content; faster delivery for clients




#### Context

- As the web took off in the 90s, traffic volumes grew and grew. This:
  - 1. Concentrated load on popular servers
  - Led to congested networks and need to provision more bandwidth
  - 3. Gave a poor user experience
- Idea:
  - Place popular content near clients
  - Helps with all three issues above

65


#### **Before CDNs**

Sending content from the source to 4 users takes 4 x 3 =
 12 "network hops" in the example



## **After CDNs**


 Sending content via replicas takes only 4 + 2 = 6 "network hops"



67


# After CDNs (2)

- Benefits assuming popular content:
  - Reduces server, network load
  - Improves user experience (PLT)



## **Popularity of Content**

 Zipf's Law: few popular items, many unpopular ones; both matter



George Zipf (1902-1950)




Source: Wikipedia

6


# How to place content near clients?

- Use browser and proxy caches
  - Helps, but limited to one client or clients in one organization
- Want to place replicas across the Internet for use by all nearby clients
  - Done by clever use of DNS




# Content Delivery Network (2)

- DNS resolution of site gives answer depending on client
  - Tell each client the site is the nearest replica (map client IP)



#### **Business Model**

- Clever model pioneered by Akamai
  - Placing site replica at an ISP is win-win
  - Improves site experience and reduces bandwidth usage of ISP



73

#### The Future of HTTP

- The Future of HTTP
  - How will we make the web faster?
  - A brief look at some approaches



#### **Modern Web Pages**

Waterfall diagram shows progression of page load




webpagetest tool for http://coursera.org (Firefox, 5/1 Mbps, from VA, 3/1/13)

75

## Modern Web Pages (2)


#### Yikes!

- -1 Mb data
- -2.6 secs



webpagetest tool for http://coursera.org (Firefox, 5/1 Mbps, from VA, 3/1/13)

## Modern Web Pages (3)



Yay! (Network used well)

- Waterfall and PLT depends on many factors
  - Very different for different browsers
  - Very different for repeat page views
  - Depends on local computation as well as network

77

#### Recent work to reduce PLT

#### Pages grow ever more complex!

- Larger, more dynamic, and secure
- How will we reduce PLT?
- 1. Better use of the network
  - HTTP/2 effort based on SPDY
- 2. Better content structures
  - mod\_pagespeed server extension

## SPDY ("speedy")

- A set of HTTP improvements
  - Multiplexed (parallel) HTTP requests on one TCP connection
  - Client priorities for parallel requests
  - Compressed HTTP headers
  - Server push of resources
- Now being tested and improved
  - Default in Chrome, Firefox
  - Basis for an HTTP/2 effort

79

#### mod\_pagespeed

- Observation:
  - The way pages are written affects how quickly they load
  - Many books on best practices for page authors and developers
- Key idea:
  - Have server re-write (compile) pages to help them load quickly!
  - mod\_pagespeed is an example


## mod\_pagespeed (2)

- Apache server extension
  - Software installed with web server
  - Rewrites pages "on the fly" with rules based on best practices
- Example rewrite rules:
  - Minify Javascript
  - Flatten multi-level CSS files
  - Resize images for client
  - And much more (100s of specific rules)

81

# Peer-to-Peer Content Delivery (BitTorrent) (§7.5.4)

- Peer-to-peer content delivery
  - Runs without dedicated infrastructure
  - BitTorrent as an example



#### Context

- Delivery with client/server CDNs:
  - Efficient, scales up for popular content
  - Reliable, managed for good service
- ... but some disadvantages too:
  - Need for dedicated infrastructure
  - Centralized control/oversight


83

#### P2P (Peer-to-Peer)

- Goal is delivery without dedicated infrastructure or centralized control
  - Still efficient at scale, and reliable
- Key idea is to have participants (or peers) help themselves
  - Initially Napster '99 for music (gone)
  - Now BitTorrent '01 onwards (popular!)

#### P2P Challenges

- No servers on which to rely
  - Communication must be <u>peer-to-peer</u> and self-organizing, not client-server
  - Leads to several issues at scale ...




85

## P2P Challenges (2)

- 1. Limited capabilities
  - How can one peer deliver content to all other peers?
- 2. Participation incentives
  - Why will peers help each other?
- 3. Decentralization
  - How will peers find content?

#### **Overcoming Limited Capabilities**


- Peer can send content to all other peers using a distribution tree
  - Typically done with replicas over time
  - Self-scaling capacity



87


#### Overcoming Limited Capabilities (2)

- Peer can send content to all other peers using a distribution tree
  - Typically done with replicas over time
  - Self-scaling capacity



#### **Providing Participation Incentives**


- Peers play two roles:
  - Download (→) to help themselves, and upload (←) to help others



20

## **Providing Participation Incentives (2)**

- Couple the two roles:
  - I'll upload for you if you upload for me
  - Encourages cooperation



#### **Enabling Decentralization**

- Peer must learn where to get content
  - Use DHTs (Distributed Hash Tables)
- DHTs are fully-decentralized, efficient algorithms for a distributed index
  - Index is spread across all peers
  - Index lists peers to contact for content
  - Any peer can lookup the index
  - Started as academic work in 2001

#### **BitTorrent**

- Main P2P system in use today
  - Developed by Cohen in '01
  - Very rapid growth, large transfers
  - Big fraction of Internet traffic
  - Used for legal and copyrighted content
- Delivers data using "torrents":
  - Transfers files in pieces for parallelism
  - Notable for treatment of incentives
  - Tracker or decentralized index (DHT)

Bram Cohen (1975—)



By Jacob Appelbaum, CC-BY-SA-2.0, from Wikimedia Commons


#### **BitTorrent Protocol**

- Steps to download a torrent:
  - 1. Start with torrent description
  - 2. Contact tracker to join and get list of peers (with at least seed peer)
  - 2. Or, use DHT index for peers
  - 3. Trade pieces with different peers
  - 4. Favor peers that upload to you rapidly; "choke" peers that don't by slowing your upload to them

93


#### BitTorrent Protocol (2)

All peers (except seed) retrieve torrent at the same time



## BitTorrent Protocol (3)


Dividing file into pieces gives parallelism for speed



95


## BitTorrent Protocol (4)

Choking unhelpful peers encourages participation



#### BitTorrent Protocol (5)

DHT index (spread over peers) is fully decentralized



97

#### P2P Outlook

- Alternative to CDN-style client-server content distribution
  - With potential advantages
- P2P and DHT technologies finding more widespread use over time
  - E.g., part of skype, Amazon
  - Expect hybrid systems in the future