

TIMO SCHNEIDER <TIMOS@INF.ETHZ.CH> DPHPC Recitation Session SPIN Tutorial



Last week:

- Roofline model
- Balance principle
- Basic idea: Models for performance expectation!





This week:

- You have heard a lot about locks
 - They are complicated
 - They are difficult to optimize
 - Over-optimization quickly leads to incorrect locks
 - So how do we make sure locks (or other parallel primitives) are correct in practice?



Reasoning about correctness

- We have the necessary tools for proving correctness!
- Are they practical? Why or why not?

- Example:
 - Recent bachelor thesis defense talk:
 - Implemented hierarchical R/W looks for distributed systems, based on MCS lock
 - Question:
 - How did ensure correctness?
 - How would you do it?



SPIN

- One tool that can aid us in proving properties of parallel code is SPIN
- Free software, "large" user base, lots of documentation on spinroot.com
- SPIN is based on model checking
- There are other approaches
 - Abstract interpretation
 - Profiling/Tracing (does this really prove something?)
- General idea:
 - Represent process as a state-machine
 - When processes run in parallel, generate all possible interleaving of states (state space explosion!)
 - Formulate invariants for the combined state machine



SPIN

- Need a way to describe these state machines
- If that description is "too far off" from our code, we risk specifying the wrong state machine!
- SPIN = Simple Promela Interpreter
- PROMELA = PROcess MEta LAnguage



Hello World

```
/* A "Hello World" Promela model for SPIN. */
```

```
active proctype Hello() {
    printf("Hello process, my pid is: %d\n", _pid);
}
init {
    int lastpid;
    printf("init process, my pid is: %d\n", _pid);
    lastpid = run Hello();
    printf("last pid was: %d\n", lastpid);
}
```

Execute in random simulation mode: spin -n2 hello.pr



PROMELA Semantics

- The body of a process consists of a sequence of statements. A statement is either
 - executable: the statement can be executed immediately
 - blocked: the statement cannot be executed.
- An assignment is always executable.
- An expression is also a statement; it is executable if it evaluates to non-zero.
 - 2 < 3 always executable
 - x < 27 only executable if value of x is smaller 27
 - 3 + x executable if x is not equal to -3
- The assert-statement is always executable.
- If <expr> in assert evaluates to zero, SPIN will exit with an error, as the <expr> "has been violated"



PROMELA Example: Mutual Exclusion?

```
bit flag; /* signal entering/leaving the section */
byte mutex; /* # procs in the critical section. */
```

```
proctype P(int i) {
    flag != 1;
    flag = 1;
    mutex++;
     printf("MSC: P(%d) has entered section.\n", i);
    mutex--:
    flag = 0;
}
proctype monitor() {
    assert(mutex != 2);
init {
run P(0); run P(1); run monitor();
```



PROMELA Example: Mutual Exclusion?

```
bit x, y; /* signal entering/leaving the section */
byte mutex; /* # of procs in the critical section. */
```

```
active proctype monitor() {
    assert(mutex != 2);
}
```



PROMELA Example: Mutual Exclusion?

- Show how these things can be run in practice and what we can observe (DEMO)
 - Random simulation mode this is like software testing
 - Guided simulation mode (-i)
 - Verification mode (spin -a lock.pr; gcc pan.c; ...)
 - This is why people use SPIN
 - Generates a verifier in C code, so that compiler can optimize it
 - Then exhaustively searches all possible states
 - Can be slow/eat all your memory



PROMELA Semantics: if

```
if
:: choice1 -> stat1.1; stat1.2; stat1.3; ...
:: choice2 -> stat2.1; stat2.2; stat2.3; ...
:: ...
:: choicen -> statn.1; statn.2; statn.3; ...
fi;
```

- If there is at least one choice (guard) executable, the if statement is executable and SPIN non-deterministically chooses one of the executable choices.
- The "else" choice is executable iff no other choices are
- If no choice is executable, the if-statement is blocked



PROMELA Semantics: do

```
do
:: choice1 -> stat1.1; stat1.2; stat1.3; ...
:: choice2 -> stat2.1; stat2.2; stat2.3; ...
:: ...
:: choicen -> statn.1; statn.2; statn.3; ...
od;
```

- With respect to the choices, a do-statement behaves in the same way as an if-statement.
- However, instead of ending the statement at the end of the choosen list of statements, a do-statement repeats the choice selection.
- The (always executable) break statement exits a do-loop statement and transfers control to the end of the loop



PROMELA Semantics: Communication

- Communication between processes is via channels:
 - message passing
 - rendez-vous synchronisation (handshake)
- Both are defined as channels

```
chan <name> = [<dim>] of {<t1>,<t2>, ... <tn>};
```

```
Example:
mtype {MSG, ACK};
chan toS = [2] of {mtype, bit};
```



PROMELA Semantics: Communication

- channel = FIFO-buffer (for dim>0)
- ! Sending putting a message into a channel

ch ! <expr1>, <expr2>, ... <exprn>;

- Values of <expri> must correspond with the types of the channel declaration.
- A send-statement is executable if the channel is not full.
- ? Receiving getting a message out of a channel ch ? <var1>, <var2>, ... <varn>;
- If the channel is not empty, the message is fetched from the channel and the individual parts of the message are stored into the <vari>s.

ch ? <const1>, <const2>, ... <constn>;

 If the channel is not empty and the message at the front of the channel evaluates to the individual <consti>, the statement is executable and the message is removed from the channel.



Homework

• Use SPIN to solve the following riddle:





Homework

 Take the "better than Dijkstra" lock from the slides of lecture 10 and show that it does not work using SPIN.