

## Locks

### Simple Spin Lock

Prove that the lock given below violates one or more of the necessary lock properties. Use sequential consistency.

```
volatile int lock = 0;

void lock() {
    while(lock != 0) {/* wait here*/}
    lock = 1;
}

void unlock() { lock = 0; }
```

Give an alternative for a two thread lock. Prove (using sequential consistency) that it provides mutual exclusion and deadlock freedom.

### Filter Lock

Prove that the filter lock (given below) provides mutual exclusion for n threads. Use sequential consistency.

```
volatile int level[n] = {0,0,...,0};
volatile int victim[n];

void lock() {
    for (int l=1; l<n; l++) {
        level[tid] = l;
        victim[l] = tid;
        while (( $\exists k \neq tid$ ) (level[k] >= l && victim[l] == tid)) {};
    }
}

void unlock() { level[tid] = 0; }
```

### Bakery Lock

Prove that the bakery lock (given below) provides mutual exclusion for n threads. Use sequential consistency.

```
volatile int flag[n] = {0, 0, ..., 0};
volatile int label[n] = {0, 0, ..., 0};
void lock() {
    flag[tid] = 1;
    label[tid] = max(label[0], ..., label[n-1]) + 1;
    while (( $\exists k \neq tid$ )(flag[k] && (label[k],k) << (label[tid],tid))) {};
}

public void unlock() { flag[tid] = 0; }
```

Note:  $(a,b) \ll (c,d)$  behaves like  $a < c$ , unless  $a=c$ , in which case it becomes  $b < d$ .

## Better than Dijkstra?

The following is an excerpt of the journal "Communications of the ACM", Vol. 9, No. 1, page 45, 1966. Does the given algorithm ensure mutual exclusion?

### Comments on a Problem in Concurrent Programming Control

Dear Editor:

I would like to comment on Mr. Dijkstra's solution [Solution of a problem in concurrent programming control. *Comm ACM* 8 (Sept. 1965), 569] to a messy problem that is hardly academic. We are using it now on a multiple computer complex.

When there are only two computers, the algorithm may be simplified to the following:

```
Boolean array  $b(0; 1)$  integer  $k, i, j$ ,  
comment This is the program for computer  $i$ , which may be  
either 0 or 1, computer  $j \neq i$  is the other one, 1 or 0;  
 $C0$ :  $b(i) := \text{false}$ ;  
 $C1$ : if  $k \neq i$  then begin  
 $C2$ : if not  $b(j)$  then go to  $C2$ ;  
    else  $k := i$ ; go to  $C1$  end;  
    else critical section;  
     $b(i) := \text{true}$ ;  
    remainder of program;  
    go to  $C0$ ;  
    end
```

Mr. Dijkstra has come up with a clever solution to a really practical problem.